KEYWORD: Guideline F

DIGEST: Applicant's brief raises no allegation of harmful error on the part of the Judge. Rather, he explains the circumstances surrounding his financial problems and argues he has resolved the security concerns. The Board does not review cases de novo. The Appeal Board's authority to review a case is limited to cases in which the appealing party has alleged the Judge committed harmful error. Adverse decision affirmed.

CASENO: 18-02535.a1

DATE: 10/04/2019

|                                  |        | DATE: October 4, 2019  |
|----------------------------------|--------|------------------------|
|                                  |        |                        |
| In Re:                           | )<br>) |                        |
|                                  | )      | ISCR Case No. 18-02535 |
|                                  | )      |                        |
| Applicant for Security Clearance | )      |                        |

## APPEAL BOARD DECISION

## **APPEARANCES**

## FOR GOVERNMENT

James B. Norman, Esq., Chief Department Counsel

FOR APPLICANT

Pro se

The Department of Defense (DoD) declined to grant Applicant a security clearance. On October 31, 2018, DoD issued a statement of reasons (SOR) advising Applicant of the basis for that decision—security concerns raised under Guideline F (Financial Considerations) of Department of Defense Directive 5220.6 (Jan. 2, 1992, as amended) (Directive). Applicant requested a hearing. On June 24, 2019, after the hearing, Administrative Judge Shari Dam denied Applicant's request for a security clearance. Applicant appealed pursuant to Directive ¶¶ E3.1.28 and E3.1.30.

Applicant's brief raises no allegation of harmful error on the part of the Judge. Rather, he explains the circumstances surrounding his financial problems and argues he has resolved the security concerns. The Board does not review cases *de novo*. The Appeal Board's authority to review a case is limited to cases in which the appealing party has alleged the Judge committed harmful error. Because Applicant has not made such an allegation of error, the decision of the Judge denying Applicant a security clearance is affirmed.

Order

The Decision is **AFFIRMED**.

Signed: Michael Ra'anan
Michael Ra'anan
Administrative Judge
Chairperson, Appeal Board

Signed: James E. Moody
James E. Moody
Administrative Judge
Member, Appeal Board

Signed: James F. Duffy
James F. Duffy
Administrative Judge
Member, Appeal Board