KEYWORD: Guideline F

DIGEST: The Board does not review a case de novo. The Appeal Board's authority to review a case is limited to cases in which the appealing party has alleged the Judge committed harmful error. Applicant has not made an allegation of harmful error on the part of the Judge. Therefore, the decision of the Judge is sustainable. Adverse decision is affirmed.

CASE NO: 19-02397.a1

DATE: 05/06/2020

|                                  | DATE: May 6, 2020        |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------|
|                                  | )                        |
| In Re:                           | )                        |
|                                  | ) ISCR Case No. 19-0239' |
| Applicant for Security Clearance | )<br>)                   |

## APPEAL BOARD DECISION

## **APPEARANCES**

FOR GOVERNMENT

James B. Norman, Esq., Chief Department Counsel

FOR APPLICANT

Pro se

The Department of Defense (DoD) declined to grant Applicant a security clearance. On August 28, 2019, DoD issued a statement of reasons (SOR) advising Applicant of the basis for that decision—security concerns raised under Guideline F (Financial Considerations) of Department of Defense Directive 5220.6 (Jan. 2, 1992, as amended) (Directive). Applicant requested a decision on the written record. On February 19, 2020, after considering the record, Administrative Judge Robert Robinson Gales denied Applicant's request for a security clearance. Applicant appealed pursuant to Directive ¶ E3.1.28 and E3.1.30.

Applicant's appeal brief contains no assertion of harmful error on the part of the Judge. His brief does contain assertions and a document that were not presented to the Judge for consideration. The Appeal Board cannot consider new evidence. Directive ¶ E3.1.29. Applicant also asserts that his security clearance is needed for him to perform his job. However, the adverse impact of an unfavorable decision is not a relevant consideration in evaluating clearance eligibility. *See, e.g.*, ISCR Case No. 11-10758 at 2 (App. Bd. May 21, 2013).

The Board does not review a case *de novo*. The Appeal Board's authority to review a case is limited to cases in which the appealing party has alleged the Judge committed harmful error. Applicant has not made an allegation of harmful error on the part of the Judge. Therefore, the decision of the Judge is sustainable.

## Order

## The Decision is **AFFIRMED**.

Signed: Michael Ra'anan
Michael Ra'anan
Administrative Judge
Chairperson, Appeal Board

Signed: James E. Moody
James E. Moody
Administrative Judge
Member, Appeal Board

Signed: James F. Duffy
James F. Duffy
Administrative Judge
Member, Appeal Board