

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE DEFENSE LEGAL SERVICES AGENCY DEFENSE OFFICE OF HEARINGS AND APPEALS APPEAL BOARD POST OFFICE BOX 3656 ARLINGTON, VIRGINIA 22203 (703) 696-4759

Date: September 27, 2022

In the matter of:)
)
)
Applicant for Security Clearance)

ISCR Case No. 21-01563

APPEAL BOARD DECISION

APPEARANCES

FOR GOVERNMENT

James B. Norman, Esq., Chief Department Counsel

FOR APPLICANT Pro se

The Department of Defense (DoD) declined to grant Applicant a security clearance. On October 28, 2021, DoD issued a statement of reasons (SOR) advising Applicant of the basis for that decision accurity concerns mixed under Cuideline E (Einensiel Considerations) of DoD

that decision—security concerns raised under Guideline F (Financial Considerations) of DoD Directive 5220.6 (January 2, 1992, as amended) (Directive). Applicant requested a decision on the written record. On July 25, 2022, after considering the written record, Defense Office of Hearings and Appeals (DOHA) Administrative Judge Gina L. Marine denied Applicant's request for a security clearance. Applicant appealed pursuant to Directive ¶ E3.1.28 and E3.1.30.

The SOR alleged that Applicant failed to file his Federal and state income tax returns for 2017 and 2018 as required, that he owed about \$2,300 in delinquent Federal taxes for 2019, and that he had four delinquent debts totaling about \$4,600. The Judge found against Applicant on the tax allegations and one delinquent debt totaling about \$3,800.

Applicant's brief makes no assertion that the Judge committed harmful error. Rather, it contains a number of documents that postdate the Judge's decision. It also contains assertions that were not previously presented to the Judge for consideration. The Appeal Board is prohibited from considering new evidence on appeal. Directive ¶ E3.1.29.

The Board does not review cases *de novo*. The Appeal Board's authority to review a case is limited to cases in which the appealing party has alleged the Judge committed harmful error. Because Applicant has not alleged any harmful error, the decision of the Judge denying Applicant security clearance eligibility is sustainable.

Order

The decision is **AFFIRMED**.

<u>Signed: James F. Duffy</u> James F. Duffy Administrative Judge Chairperson, Appeal Board

<u>Signed: Jennifer I. Goldstein</u> Jennifer I. Goldstein Administrative Judge Member, Appeal Board

<u>Signed: Moira D. Modzelewski</u> Moira D. Modzelewski Administrative Judge Member, Appeal Board