



**DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE
 DEFENSE LEGAL SERVICES AGENCY
 DEFENSE OFFICE OF HEARINGS AND APPEALS
 APPEAL BOARD
 POST OFFICE BOX 3656
 ARLINGTON, VIRGINIA 22203
 (703) 696-4759**

Date: September 6, 2022

In the matter of:)	
)	
-----)	ISCR Case No. 20-01134
)	
Applicant for Security Clearance)	

APPEAL BOARD DECISION

APPEARANCES

FOR GOVERNMENT

James B. Norman, Esq., Chief Department Counsel

FOR APPLICANT

Pro se

The Department of Defense (DoD) declined to grant Applicant a security clearance. On July 10, 2020, DoD issued a statement of reasons (SOR) advising Applicant of the basis for that decision—security concerns raised under Guideline H (Drug Involvement and Substance Misuse) of Department of Defense Directive 5220.6 (Jan. 2, 1992, as amended) (Directive). Applicant requested a decision on the written record. On July 5, 2022, after the record closed, Administrative Judge Eric C. Price denied Applicant’s request for a security clearance. Applicant appealed pursuant to Directive ¶¶ E3.1.28 and E3.1.30.

On appeal, Applicant make no assertion of harmful error on the part of the Judge. Instead, he provides additional information regarding his intent to refrain from use of marijuana. The Appeal Board is prohibited from considering new evidence on appeal. Directive E3.1.29.

The Appeal Board does not review cases *de novo*. The Board's authority to review a case is limited to cases in which the appealing party has alleged the Judge committed harmful error. Because Applicant has not made such an allegation of error, the decision of the Judge denying Applicant a security clearance is sustainable.

Order

The decision is **AFFIRMED**.

Signed: James F. Duffy

James F. Duffy
Administrative Judge
Chairperson, Appeal Board

Signed: Jennifer I. Goldstein

Jennifer I. Goldstein
Administrative Judge
Member, Appeal Board

Signed: Moira Modzelewski

Moira Modzelewski
Administrative Judge
Member, Appeal Board