

he extended the deadline for closing of the record for an additional two weeks at Applicant's request, but she did not present additional evidence. Decision at 2. The Judge found in favor of Applicant on one medical debt totaling about \$130 and against her on the remaining allegations. In the decision, the Judge noted that Applicant claimed many of the alleged debts were resolved or were being resolved but failed to submit documentary evidence to support those claims.

Applicant's appeal brief contains new evidence that the Appeal Board is prohibited from considering. Directive ¶ E3.1.29. Her brief does not assert that the Judge committed any harmful error. The Appeal Board does not review cases *de novo*. The Board's authority to review a case is limited to cases in which the appealing party has alleged the Judge committed harmful error. Because Applicant has not alleged such a harmful error, the decision of the Judge denying Applicant security clearance eligibility is sustainable.

Order

The decision is **AFFIRMED**.

Signed: James F. Duffy
James F. Duffy
Administrative Judge
Chairperson, Appeal Board

Signed: Moira Modzelewski
Moira Modzelewski
Administrative Judge
Member, Appeal Board

Signed: Allison Marie
Allison Marie
Administrative Judge
Member, Appeal Board