

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

DEFENSE LEGAL SERVICES AGENCY DEFENSE OFFICE OF HEARINGS AND APPEALS APPEAL BOARD POST OFFICE BOX 3656 ARLINGTON, VIRGINIA 22203 (703) 696-4759

D-4-- I--1-- 20 2024

| | | Date: July 29, 2024 |
|----------------------------------|--------|------------------------|
| In the matter of: |) | |
| |) | |
| |) | ISCR Case No. 22-01859 |
| |) | |
| Applicant for Security Clearance |)) | |

APPEAL BOARD DECISION

APPEARANCES

FOR GOVERNMENT

Julie R. Mendez, Esq., Chief Department Counsel

FOR APPLICANT Pro se

The Department of Defense (DoD) declined to grant Applicant a security clearance. On December 9, 2022, DoD issued a Statement of Reasons (SOR) advising Applicant of the basis of that decision – security concerns raised under Guideline F (Financial Considerations) and Guideline E (Personal Conduct) of the National Security Adjudicative Guidelines (AG) in Appendix A of Security Executive Agent Directive 4 (effective June 8, 2017) and DoD Directive 5220.6 (Jan. 2, 1992, as amended) (Directive). On May 29, 2024, Defense Office of Hearings and Appeals Administrative Judge Charles C. Hale denied Applicant's security clearance eligibility. Applicant appealed pursuant to Directive ¶ E3.1.28 and E3.1.30.

The Judge found in Applicant's favor as to all allegations under Guideline E and entered mixed findings under Guideline F. On appeal, Applicant makes no assertion of harmful error on the part of the Judge. Instead, he readdresses each of his debts and provides evidence of payment of two delinquent debts and a tax lien, all of which occurred after the close of the record. The Board cannot consider Applicant's new evidence on appeal. *See* Directive ¶ E3.1.29. *E.g.*, ISCR Case No. 15-02156 at 3 (App. Bd. Jun. 24, 2016). Nor does the Appeal Board review cases *de novo*. Directive ¶ E3.1.29.

The Board's authority to review a case is limited to cases in which the appealing party has alleged the judge committed harmful error. Because Applicant has not made such an allegation of error, the decision of the Judge denying Applicant security clearance eligibility is sustainable.

ORDER

The decision is **AFFIRMED**.

Signed: Moira Modzelewski Moira Modzelewski Administrative Judge Chair, Appeal Board

Signed: Gregg A. Cervi Gregg A. Cervi Administrative Judge Member, Appeal Board

Signed: James B. Norman James B. Norman Administrative Judge Member, Appeal Board