

KEYWORD: Guideline G

DIGEST: Applicant has failed to articulate a persuasive reason to change the Board's resolution of this case. Adverse decision affirmed.

CASENO: 06-25684.a2

DATE: 06/10/2008

DATE: June 10, 2008

_____)	
In Re:)	
)	
-----)	ISCR Case No. 06-25684
)	
)	
Applicant for Security Clearance)	
_____)	

**APPEAL BOARD DECISION
ON APPLICANT'S REQUEST
FOR RECONSIDERATION**

APPEARANCES

FOR GOVERNMENT
James B. Norman, Esq., Chief Department Counsel

FOR APPLICANT
Douglas J. Monaghan, Esq.

On March 21, 2008, the Board issued an Appeal Board Decision in this case. On April 14, 2008, Applicant submitted a request for reconsideration of this decision. Department Counsel submitted a response on April 17, 2008.

The Board has inherent authority to entertain a request to reconsider its decisions. However, there is no right to reconsideration; the Board has the sole discretion to decide whether to exercise its inherent authority to reconsider one of its decisions. *See* ISCR Case No. 98-0621 at 1-2 (App. Bd. Nov. 23, 1999).

Applicant requests that the Board reconsider its prior decision and grant him a security clearance, arguing that he had successfully mitigated the Guideline G security concerns in his case. In the alternative Applicant requests that the Board direct that the hearing be reopened so he can present additional evidence.

The Board has considered Applicant's brief and Department Counsel's reply brief in light of our prior decision. Applicant has failed to articulate a persuasive reason to change the Board's resolution of his case or to allow a reopening of the record. *See* ISCR Case No. 03-21012 at 1 (App. Bd. Sep. 20, 2005). Accordingly Applicant's request is denied.

Signed: Jeffrey D. Billett
Jeffrey D. Billett
Administrative Judge
Member, Appeal Board

Signed: William S. Fields
William S. Fields
Administrative Judge
Member, Appeal Board

Signed: James E. Moody
James E. Moody
Administrative Judge
Member, Appeal Board