

KEYWORD: Guideline F

DIGEST: On appeal Applicant states that he will pay his debts if by doing so he will receive a security clearance Adverse decision affirmed

CASENO: 10-09748.a1

DATE: 03/02/2012

DATE: March 2, 2012

In Re:)
)
)
-----) ISCR Case No. 10-09748
)
)
Applicant for Security Clearance)
)
)

APPEAL BOARD SUMMARY DISPOSITION

APPEARANCES

FOR GOVERNMENT

James B. Norman, Esq., Chief Department Counsel

FOR APPLICANT

Pro se

The Defense Office of Hearings and Appeals (DOHA) declined to grant Applicant a security clearance. On August 9, 2011, DOHA issued a statement of reasons (SOR) advising Applicant of

the basis for that decision—security concerns raised under Guideline F (Financial Considerations) of Department of Defense Directive 5220.6 (Jan. 2, 1992, as amended) (Directive). Applicant requested a decision on the written record. On December 30, 2011, after considering the record, Administrative Judge Edward W. Loughran denied Applicant’s request for a security clearance. Applicant appealed pursuant to Directive ¶¶ E3.1.28 and E3.1.30.

Applicant’s appeal brief makes no assertion of harmful error by the Judge. The brief states that Applicant will pay two of the three debts which the Judge found against him if, by doing so, he will receive a security clearance. Our authority to review a case is limited to cases in which the appealing party has alleged the Judge committed harmful error. *See* Directive ¶ E3.1.29; *see also* ISCR Case No. 10-09349 at 2 (App. Bd. Jan. 12, 2011). Therefore, the Judge’s adverse security clearance decision is **AFFIRMED**.

Signed: Michael Y. Ra’anan
Michael Y. Ra’anan
Administrative Judge
Chairperson, Appeal Board

Signed: Jeffrey D. Billett
Jeffrey D. Billett
Administrative Judge
Member, Appeal Board

Signed: James E. Moody
James E. Moody
Administrative Judge
Member, Appeal Board