

KEYWORD: Guideline F

DIGEST: Applicant has not asserted harmful error by the Judge. Adverse decision affirmed.

CASENO: 11-14775.a1

DATE: 02/14/2014

DATE: February 14, 2014

In Re:)
)
)
 -----) ISCR Case No. 11-14775
)
)
 Applicant for Security Clearance)
)
)

APPEAL BOARD SUMMARY DISPOSITION

APPEARANCES

FOR GOVERNMENT

James B. Norman, Esq., Chief Department Counsel

FOR APPLICANT

Pro se

The Department of Defense (DoD) declined to grant Applicant a security clearance. On May 8, 2013, DoD issued a statement of reasons (SOR) advising Applicant of the basis for that decision—security concerns raised under Guideline F (Financial Considerations) of Department of

Defense Directive 5220.6 (Jan. 2, 1992, as amended) (Directive). Applicant requested a hearing. On December 9, 2013, after the hearing, Defense Office of Hearings and Appeals (DOHA) Administrative Judge John Grattan Metz, Jr., denied Applicant's request for a security clearance. Applicant appealed pursuant to Directive ¶¶ E3.1.28 and E3.1.30.

Applicant's appeal brief contains no assertion of harmful error by the Judge. Our authority to review cases is limited to those in which the appealing party has raised an issue of harmful error. Directive ¶ E3.1.32. *See also* ISCR Case No. 10-02819 (App. Bd. Feb. 4, 2013). Accordingly, the decision of the Judge is **AFFIRMED**.

Signed: Michael Y. Ra'anan
Michael Y. Ra'anan
Administrative Judge
Chairperson, Appeal Board

Signed: Jeffrey D. Billett
Jeffrey D. Billett
Administrative Judge
Member, Appeal Board

Signed: James E. Moody
James E. Moody
Administrative Judge
Member, Appeal Board