

KEYWORD: Guideline F

DIGEST: Applicant has not made an allegation of harmful error. Adverse decision affirmed.

CASENO: 15-00804.a1

DATE: 04/01/2016

DATE: April 1, 2016

_____)	
In Re:)	
)	
-----)	ISCR Case No. 15-00804
)	
)	
Applicant for Security Clearance)	
_____)	

APPEAL BOARD SUMMARY DISPOSITION

APPEARANCES

FOR GOVERNMENT

James B. Norman, Esq., Chief Department Counsel

FOR APPLICANT

Pro se

The Department of Defense (DoD) declined to grant Applicant a security clearance. On July 25, 2015, DoD issued a statement of reasons (SOR) advising Applicant of the basis for that

decision—security concerns raised under Guideline F (Financial Considerations) of Department of Defense Directive 5220.6 (Jan. 2, 1992, as amended) (Directive). Applicant requested a decision on the written record. On December 31, 2015, after considering the record, Defense Office of Hearings and Appeals (DOHA) Administrative Judge Roger C. Wesley denied Applicant’s request for a security clearance. Applicant appealed pursuant to the Directive ¶¶ E3.1.28 and E3.1.30.

Applicant’s appeal submission contains no assertion of harmful error on the part of the Judge. Rather, it contains documents which, on their face, postdate the Judge’s decision. The Appeal Board cannot consider new evidence on Appeal. *See* Directive ¶ E3.1.29.

The Appeal Board does not review a case *de novo*. The Board’s authority to review a case is limited to cases in which the appealing party has alleged the Judge committed harmful error. Applicant has not made an allegation of harmful error on the part of the Judge. Therefore, the decision of the Judge is AFFIRMED.

Signed: Michael Ra’anan
Michael Ra’anan
Administrative Judge
Chairperson, Appeal Board

Signed: Jean E. Smallin
Jean E. Smallin
Administrative Judge
Member, Appeal Board

Signed: William S. Fields
William S. Fields
Administrative Judge
Member, Appeal Board