

KEYWORD: Guideline F

DIGEST: Applicant’s brief does not raise an issue of harmful error. Rather, it includes new evidence concerning his state tax obligations, his child support debt, etc. We cannot consider new evidence on appeal. Adverse decision affirmed.

CASENO: 15-07119.a1

DATE: 09/12/2017

DATE: September 12, 2017

In Re:)	
-----)	
Applicant for Security Clearance)	ISCR Case No. 15-07119

APPEAL BOARD SUMMARY DISPOSITION

APPEARANCES

FOR GOVERNMENT

James B. Norman, Esq., Chief Department Counsel

FOR APPLICANT

Pro se

The Department of Defense (DoD) declined to grant Applicant a security clearance. On April 14, 2016, DoD issued a statement of reasons (SOR) advising Applicant of the basis for that decision—security concerns raised under Guideline F (Financial Considerations) of Department of Defense Directive 5220.6 (Jan. 2, 1992, as amended) (Directive). Applicant requested a decision on the written record. On June 12, 2017, after considering the record, Defense Office of Hearings and Appeals (DOHA) Administrative Judge Robert J. Kilmartin denied Applicant’s request for a security clearance. Applicant appealed pursuant to Directive ¶¶ E3.1.28 and E3.1.30.

Applicant’s brief does not raise an issue of harmful error. Rather, it includes new evidence

concerning his state tax obligations, his child support debt, etc. We cannot consider new evidence on appeal. Directive ¶ E3.1.29. We do not review cases *de novo*. Our scope of review is limited to cases in which Applicants have raised an issue of harmful error. Directive ¶ E3.1.32; *See* ISCR Case No. 15-01734 at 1-2 (App. Bd. Jan .19, 2017). Accordingly, the Decision of the Judge denying Applicant a security clearance is **AFFIRMED**.

Signed: Michael Y. Ra'anan
Michael Y. Ra'anan
Administrative Judge
Chairperson, Appeal Board

Signed: James E. Moody
James E. Moody
Administrative Judge
Member, Appeal Board

Signed: James F. Duffy
James F. Duffy
Administrative Judge
Member, Appeal Board