

KEYWORD: Guideline F

DIGEST: Applicant has not raised an issue of harmful error by the Judge. Adverse decision affirmed.

CASENO: 16-00481.a1

DATE: 11/7/2017

DATE: November 7, 2017

In Re:)	
)	
-----)	ISCR Case No. 16-00481
)	
Applicant for Security Clearance)	

APPEAL BOARD SUMMARY DISPOSITION

APPEARANCES

FOR GOVERNMENT

James B. Norman, Esq., Chief Department Counsel

FOR APPLICANT

Pro se

The Department of Defense (DoD) declined to grant Applicant a security clearance. On June 7, 2016, DoD issued a statement of reasons (SOR) advising Applicant of the basis for that decision—security concerns raised under Guideline F (Financial Considerations) of Department of Defense Directive 5220.6 (Jan. 2, 1992, as amended) (Directive). Applicant requested a decision on the written record. On July 27, 2017, after considering the record, Defense Office of Hearings and Appeals (DOHA) Administrative Judge Thomas M. Crean denied Applicant’s request for a security clearance. Applicant appealed pursuant to Directive ¶¶ E3.1.28 and E3.1.30.

Applicant has not raised an issue of harmful error by the Judge. He provides some information about the status of his delinquent debts, much of which is new evidence. We cannot consider new evidence upon appeal. Directive ¶ E3.1.29. We do not review a case *de novo*. Our

scope of review is limited to cases in which the appealing party raises an issue of harmful error. *See, e.g.*, ISCR Case No. 15-03935 at 2 (App. Bd. Oct. 11, 2017). There being none, the decision is **AFFIRMED**.

Signed: Michael Y. Ra'anan
Michael Y. Ra'anan
Administrative Judge
Chairperson, Appeal Board

Signed: James E. Moody
James E. Moody
Administrative Judge
Member, Appeal Board

Signed: James F. Duffy
James F. Duffy
Administrative Judge
Member, Appeal Board