

KEYWORD: Guideline F; Guideline E

DIGEST: The non-appealing party filed a motion for expedited remand. The appealing party did not object. For reasons of economy the case is remanded for further processing. Adverse decision affirmed.

CASENO: 06-15770.a1

DATE: 03/26/2007

DATE: March 26, 2007

In Re:	)	
	)	
-----	)	
SSN: -----	)	ADP Case No. 06-15770
	)	
Applicant for Trustworthiness Determination	)	
	)	

**APPEAL BOARD SUMMARY REMAND**

**APPEARANCES**

**FOR GOVERNMENT**

Gina L Marine, Esq., Department Counsel

**FOR APPLICANT**

*Pro Se*

The Defense Office of Hearings and Appeals (DOHA) proposed to deny or revoke access to automated information systems in ADP-I/II/III sensitivity positions for Applicant. On August 22, 2006, DOHA issued a statement of reasons (SOR) advising Applicant of the basis for that decision—trustworthiness concerns raised under Guideline F (Financial Considerations) and Guideline E (Personal Conduct) of Department of Defense Directive 5220.6 (Jan. 2, 1992, as

amended) (Directive). Applicant requested the case be decided on the written record. On February 28, 2007, after considering the record, Administrative Judge Erin C. Hogan denied Applicant's request for a trustworthiness designation. Applicant timely appealed pursuant to the Directive ¶¶ E3.1.28 and E3.1.30.

On March 21, 2007, Department Counsel filed a Motion for Expedited Remand. On March 23, 2007, Applicant filed a response to the government's motion stating that she had no objection.

Accordingly, in the interest of administrative economy, the case is hereby remanded to the Judge for further processing. Nothing about this action shall prejudice the appeal rights of the parties.

Signed: Michael Y. Ra'anan  
Michael Y. Ra'anan  
Administrative Judge  
Chairman, Appeal Board

Signed: William S. Fields  
William S. Fields  
Administrative Judge  
Member, Appeal Board

Signed: James E. Moody  
James E. Moody  
Administrative Judge  
Member, Appeal Board