

KEYWORD: Guideline C; Guideline B; Guideline F; Guideline E

DIGEST: The Board has considered Applicant's brief in light of our prior decision. Applicant has failed to articulate a persuasive reason to change the Board's resolution of this case. Request for reconsideration is denied.

CASENO: 08-00899.a2

DATE: 09/24/2008

DATE: September 24, 2008

In Re:)	
)	
-----)	ISCR Case No. 08-00899
)	
Applicant for Security Clearance)	

**APPEAL BOARD DECISION
ON APPLICANT'S REQUEST
FOR RECONSIDERATION**

APPEARANCES

FOR GOVERNMENT

James B. Norman, Esq., Chief Department Counsel

FOR APPLICANT

Pro Se

On July 29, 2008, the Board issued an Appeal Decision in this case. On August 3, 2008, Applicant submitted a request for reconsideration of that decision. He further submitted an addendum to his request on August 18, 2008. The Chief Department Counsel advised that he would not file a Reply Brief.

The Board has inherent authority to entertain requests to reconsider its decisions. However, there is no right to reconsideration; the Board has the sole discretion to decide whether to exercise its inherent authority to reconsider one of its decisions. *See* ISCR Case No. 98-0621 at 1-2 (App. Bd. Nov. 23, 1999).

Applicant requests that the Board reconsider its prior decision and grant him a security clearance, arguing that he had successfully mitigated the Guideline E security concerns in his case under the whole person factors.

The Board has considered Applicant's brief in light of our prior decision. Applicant has failed to articulate a persuasive reason to change the Board's resolution of this case. *See* ISCR Case No. 06-25684 at 2 (App. Bd. Jun. 10, 2008). Accordingly, the request is denied.

Signed: Michael D. Hipple
Michael D. Hipple
Administrative Judge
Member, Appeal Board

Signed: Jean E. Smallin
Jean E. Smallin
Administrative Judge
Member, Appeal Board

Signed: William S. Fields
William S. Fields
Administrative Judge
Member, Appeal Board